Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,	$\wedge$
Name: MARLARET HINGER	No. of Copies rec'd () List ABCDE
Title: MRS. Address: 9828 E. RUEBLO AUE HZZ, MCSA, A. 85208	
PAY® Number: 480 - 986 - 4816	

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect. I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose guality service. I don't want VRS guality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and guality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, Thomas fantagne of

Name: Thomas Lantagne or

Title: VRS SAVE Please
Address: 2184 Deefer Rd, Luzerne MI, 48636

VP Number: (989) 786 - 07/0

No. of Copies recid

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected
NOV 202012
FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

No. of Copies rec'd

Sincerely,

Name: Richard L. CARR

Title:
Address: 2550 S. ELLSworth RD 11 Mesa, AZ 85209

VP Number: 480-347-9704

Received & Inspected
NOV 2 0 2012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people thave to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: Title:

Address:

VP Number:

Bot Krimkth S 3700 S. IRONWOOD DR# 168 480 - 347 - 0513

No. of Copies recit

Received & Inspected FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer, I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service, I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency, I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, 2 when Games

Name: Exalyn Games

Title: WS,

Address: 6242 W. Sarategar Way

VP Number: 520-413-1197

No. of Copies recid

	•		

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Donna Low Moell
Title:
Address: 13796 N. 93 Rd Slow State Statistale, 3, 85260
VP Number: 480 - 646 - 3738

No. of Course the LLD

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected NOV 202012 FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer, I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose guality service. I don't want VRS guality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency, I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained. No. of Copies recid

Name: Anita HAITEVMEN Title: Ms. Address: 1/956 E. Maple ton Rd Maple ton, OR 97453 VP Number: 541-636-0126

List ABCDE

URA How can we Por He Marin people. He regd Commucation Wilte Juins, doctor, or bluside 1 your not in

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected FCC Mail Room

(Turn over)

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose guality service. I don't want VRS guality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, Timothy J O Reilly

Name: Timothy J O Reilly

Title: Mr

Address: P.O. Box 112, Jefferson, CO 80456

VP Number: 7/9-359 + 9/33

No. of Copias ratif \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ List ABCIDE

I think VP easier Talk vell than TTY alot.

I understand Talk on VP HelpFul. + Best.

TJOR

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected NOV 2 0 2012

FCC Mail Room

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely, Gloria S. Johnson Name: Title: Rotured Address: 5706 E. Des Moines St. VP Number: 480-305-0291

No. of Copias rec'd\_\_\_\_\_ List ABCDE

(over)-

Very concerned about 91/ if stop VRSCA
Please Reconsider not to Stop VRSCA
Which is mostly needed for us deafWant to be equal like hearing people.
Not fair not to stop hearing rights
We are very innocent + normal like hearing
people - except care hear - Can't help it!



CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected NOV 2 0 2012 FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency, I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Ann Mackle (Aww Marck Le)

Title:

Address: 4828 E. Hannibet Circle, Mesa, AZ 85215

VP Number:

480 - 347 - 0388

Please don't Cut Reafs news

Please don't Cut Reafs news

Adamse also what about 911

Acronios - we need it so bal,

We depend on VES & help an

Clot then you Shut as Gut. No. of Copies recid\_ 0

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected
NOV 202012
FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies roc'd

List ASCDE

Name: Maureen Lantagne

Title: Man URS Save Please
Address: 2184 Deeter Rd. P.O. Box 55, Luzerne, Michigan 48636

VP Number: 989-786-0710

What about 911 Service? and it's very topportant important to me, as no Joke.

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date. Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect. I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency, I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely.

Name: Richard Schulte

Address: 32/52 Kelly Rd. Roseville Michigan 48066

VP Number: 586-441-7922

What about 911 Service and No. of Capies recid 1 List ABODE

Received & Inspected FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: Rosemarie Prince

Name: Rosemarie Prince

Title: MRS

Address: 2428 EAPACHE BLUD-#310Apt

VP Number: 480-525-8786

What about 911 Service

List ABCDE

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely, Robert Janens

Name: RICHPHT JAVENS

Title:

Address: 2428 E.A PACHEBLUD, TEMPE ARIZUNE 85 181 APT. 104

VP Number: 480-646-3743

(UVER)

No. of Copies reold 0

5. I need help for 911 in Case of Emergy.

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

No. of Conias recid U
List ABCDE

Name: Marian Brigge Title: Selvied Address: 4500 D Coffeeton St Hilbert AZ 82997 VP Number: 480 525 8455

turn over

Rlene Croperate with dent people. We all deserve a normal life like Rening people. We would feel lost without UP. UP is very emportant to us and we can communite with dators, etc. And we can keep in touch with friends on UP. UP is by best friend. The ank you

Received & Inspected
NOV 202012
FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: JAMES J HOWARD

Title: JAMES J HOWARD Address: Q428 E. Apacle Blod. #209, Tempe, AZ 85231

VP Number(480) 646-35788

No. of Copies recid\_ List ABCDE I belove the Doxf Need VA bacouse casy commit and understand in owar Lanswage, prophe Dead prophe home a fum. Ly and friend in different States by using VA. The Deaf hard thouble to used TTY on TTA Escarse they dould not understand for SEE. picture.

James Howard

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

FCC Mail Roll am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool ruseway. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, Stylen Valentine

Name: STEPHEN VALENTINE

Title: MR.

Address: 373 N. APACHE Dr. CHANDLER, Az. 85224

VP Number: 623-208 - 78

No. of Copies recid List ABCDE

I had a heart problems - if it wasn't for VRS, I would not be uniting this today. PLEASE CERVE them as we need them, just you need to moriter them closely but not short them down. Thank you for hearing me out and others too!

Thank you for hearing me out and others too!

NOV 202812 FCC Mail Room

Received & Inspected

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: Yell Valeraine
Title: Housewife
Address: 373 N. Apache Dr., Chandler, AZ 85ZZ4
VP Number:
623-208-7849

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List AGCDE

need Up for emergency 911 + tanily plus drsappt.

The times up saves mylife to contact my family + sono for

The times up saves mylife to contact my family + sono for

Run fines.

Any mother called up quickly to be me know that my dad

mas going to die to use zamed to see him before died,

was going to die to use zamed to see him before died,

was going to die to use zamed to see him before died,

Whoo, dr office tend to call us more often on up easily

than email or no texts (from drs).

Please concern to let us leep VRS running.

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Name: Mary D. Searle
Title: VRS Base Jain St. Let 178 Hesa, Al. 8505-7911
VP Number: 339-349-2215

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name Richard L. Searle

Title: VKS save

Address: 4700 E. Main St. Site #1/78

VP Number: 239-349-221-5

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

## FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely.

Name: VIOLA HILDRETH Title: VRS. June Address: 683 E. VOIK LN VP Number: 480-347-0705

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

		•

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained. 

Sincerely,

Name: Dorothy J. CARR Title: Address: 25505. EUSWORTH RD # Mesa, AZ 85209 VP Number: 480-347-9704

List / BODE

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

No of Costan rec'd\_\_\_\_ Lie: / GODE

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Tanny Draper

Address: 25834 Su. Clourland Dr. Sun Lakes, AZ 85248

VP Number: 602-714-3248

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.
I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Name: James Butterfield
Title:
Address: 25834 So. Uoverland Dr. SunLakes, AZ 85948
VP Number: 602-633-7008

	·	

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Nancy Luckant Title: 105 C, adobe Rd. Wesa, Any 85205 Address: 6105 C, adobe Rd. Wesa, Any 85205 VP Number: 480-347-0830

No of Contractsord

Received & Inspected NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

Helia Caplas rec'd\_\_\_\_ Eur ABODE

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works, I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely, Semnel Garrison Good

Name: Lemvel Garrison Good

Title: MR

Address: 9065. Idaho Rd Lot 32 - Apache Junction, AZ 85119

Received & Inspected NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect. I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: DAle K, Johnson
Title: MR
Address: 706 = Des Moines St. ariz. 85-25VP Number: 408-305-0291

nii. A3CDE

Dependenced UNS Very Bass
Please Keep on for all perfects
also we need 911

Shows

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect. I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Title: 3700 S. Ironwood Dr. & pach Jet, Ariz 85120 — VP Number: 480 - 347 - 0513

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the guality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely, Kut Dlynh' Name: Ret Chark

Title: Address: 2428 E. Apache BLVD #311 Tempe, Az. 85781 VP Number: 623-208-4380

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List ABCDE

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

1. of Copies rec'd

HIST ABODE

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Edwin ). Wowski Sr.
Title: Mr.
Address: 3636 E. INVERNESS AVE

Received & Inspected NOV 202012 FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day, I don't want the guality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: Wallace D. Surkant

Address: 6/05 E, adobe Rd Mesa, Az 85-305

VP Number:

480-347-1830

rab. of Copies rec'd

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

## FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Address: 48 VP Number:

What About 9/14 Cootor (LILE PERSNIA FOR/LWE NEED - THANK YOU FOR OUR DESERVED OUR HEED AZ 85705 No. Of Copies 150'd THANK YOU List ABCDE

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and guality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained. Ho. of Copies 190'd List ABODE

Sincerely,

Name: GARY L. HALTERMAN

Title: MR.
Address: 11956 & E. MAPLETON, ROAD
VP Number: 541 - 636 - 0126

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

HEASCOE

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained. No of Copies recid\_\_\_\_\_

Sincerely,

Name: Richard D. Chodwick

Title: MR.

Title: MR.
Address: 876 S. Lawther Dr. Apache Jet. ARIE. 85/20

VP Number: 623, 208, 7649

(MER)

Please, stay - No change - Don't see any deaf

Received & Inspected NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works, I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer, I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

nt in Copies rec'd BUDGABODE

Sincerely.

Name: RODNEY WILLIAMS
Title: GNC SPEALIST MACHINIST
Address: 10920 E. CABALLERO ST. MESA, AZ. 85207

VP Number: 480-347-9306

SPEAK TO DIGTE OF DENTIST?

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the guality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us! I am deaf. Please open VRSCA.
also what about 911 pernis.

Sincerely, Jagoe In L. Anderson Lam deaf. Please open V Name: Mrs, Zagor KA L. Anderson also what about 911 per Title: Address: 2428 E. Apach & BLVD # 418 Tempe, Az. 85281 VP Number: 1 2225

VP Number: 602 - 714-3235

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Receivea & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

No. of Copies rec'd 0 List ABODE

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication - communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider, I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources. VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Sally Chadwick Title: Mrs. Address: 876 S. Samther Dr., aprile g J, AZ 85/20.

VP Number: 623-208-7642

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

FCC Mail Room

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the quality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely,

Name: Eugenio Octoa

Address: 608 S. 93 Hd ST. MRS4, AZ 85208 VP Number: (480) 347-9656

Ho. C. Grab is rec'd List ABCDE

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

FCC Mail Room

I am deaf. I use my videophone to communicate with my loved ones, my friends and co-workers. I like that I can call these people any time of day and use American Sign Language (ASL) to communicate. Without the quality VRS service I receive, I would not be able to communicate with these people.

I understand the FCC is considering changes to VRS. I do not agree with the FCC's proposals. They would change the way I communicate and I am afraid the quality of VRS would be bad.

My focus is on quality VRS! I do not want to use "off-the-shelf" products and software designed by hearing people. One of the aspects I like about my VRS equipment is that it gives me features that my hearing family and friends have. I like using technology that was created for deaf people.

I do not want the rate changes being considered by the FCC to go into effect and my ability to enjoy VRS as it now is to change. I'm worried that some VRS companies will go out of business or stop providing the good services I use every day. I don't want the guality of service to change and for deaf people to have to take a step backwards. It is critical that the VRS program continues to deliver deaf-oriented products and quality service. Please do not take that away from us!

Sincerely.

Name: Thene & Hahr Title: MRS Address: 6536 E Barston St VP Number: 433-308-4016

Video phone very important for doctor, insurance and businessales. There

		·

Received & Inspected

NOV 202012

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

## FCC Mail Room

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day. I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me - choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices - in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Name: ERNIE HAHN

Title: NA.
Address: 6536 E. BARSTOW ST., WESA, AZ, 85205

VP Number: (623) 208-4016

Lio. of Copies rec'd. Lis: ABCDE

Video Relay Service is a huge blessing for us deal people Do not destroy that with have-brained ideas of "off-the-shelf" equipment. If I bought are at walnut, do they have techs to help me hook tup. .. NOWAY, NO HOW! These sales people don't know jack squat about belay equipment. You will be essentially distroy our only way of communication without any justilens.

I understand the fiasco san VIII provider did by wilking hour and face paying for it. This's a shame I have to admit but a large majority of deal people didn't do I, why punish us for That? We are innocent! Dov't hold that against us.

The VIRS relay system is working real good for a large dift aint broken? the tank twice befor doing to... Deriously, Cine Halin

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,
Handy F. Hale

Name: Randy Hale

Title: Assistant Property Manager

Address: 2428 E. Apache Blucht 404, Tempe, AZ 85281

VP Number: 480-646-3654

(Comment on back)

There are millions of deaf people that depends on Vider phones and VRS providers. Hearing people have Freedom to choices of providers, services and equipments. Why can't we deaf people have a freedom of choice? This is the best technology we have today compared to years back how we suffer in communication. Important to make calls such as family members (hearing), doctors, friends and many more. TDD +TTY's have bound struggle for me especially those uno have carpul tunnels yndrane or aithirs in hands. Signing Asil is easier to to communicate than typing. Please reconsider to keep this gains for a our communication is we are proud of our language "ASI"!

Thank You. Rardy Hale

CG Docket Nos. 03-123 and 10-51

I am a deaf person who uses Video Relay Services (VRS) for my communication with hearing people. VRS is a communication tool I use every day.

I am writing because I am very concerned about the Federal Communication Commission's (FCC's) recent proposals to change the way VRS works. I can't imagine life without the current services I use. I don't want to see those services change!

The Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) moved deaf people forward and opened up opportunities for us. The ADA assured deaf people (like me) that we will have access to "functionally-equivalent" communication – communication choices and services similar to those enjoyed by hearing people. To date, Video Relay Service (VRS) is the most functionally-equivalent communication service for deaf people.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have what the ADA promised me – choice in my VRS equipment. I want to keep options available in choosing products that were designed for deaf people. I want choices.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect, I won't have a choice in my VRS provider. I don't want my calls to be routed through a centralized database that would assign my calls to different providers. Hearing people have a choice in service providers. I want a choice.

I am concerned that if the FCC's proposals go into effect and there are rate cuts for VRS providers, the quality of my service will suffer. I'm concerned that with very limited resources, VRS providers might have to make changes that would result in longer hold times, unreliable service and less training for interpreters. Hearing people have a choice to choose quality service. I don't want VRS quality to suffer because VRS providers have no choice but to cut aspects of their service.

Please fulfill the promises of the ADA! I want functional equivalency. I want choices – in equipment, providers and quality. Please ensure that the VRS services I currently enjoy are maintained.

Sincerely,

Name: Anne J Rulank

Title: Mrs
Address: 3700. S. Ironwood dr # 169

VP Number: 480 = 347-0513

No. of Copies rec'd\_\_\_\_\_ List ABCDE WHAT About 911 If its case of Smeng- How Could we Call for hop this number one to worry About.